

# Impact of Proposed HUD CDBG Formula Change on Overall Formula Funding to States (Entitlement & Non-entitlement Totals)

For comparison purposes, CDBG funding is held steady at fiscal 2006 level. However, HUD's CDBG proposal sets authorization for formula funding at \$2.775 billion, or 25 percent below the fiscal 2006 appropriation.

	Total CDBG \$s to States under Fiscal 2006 Allocations	Fiscal 2006 Allocations Using Proposed HUD Formula	\$ Difference Under Proposed HUD Formula	% Difference Under Proposed HUD Formula	States That Lose
Alabama	\$49,688,000	\$61,421,000	\$11,733,000	23.6%	
Alaska	\$4,748,000	\$3,999,000	(\$749,000)	-15.8%	1
Arizona	\$54,637,000	\$53,701,000	(\$936,000)	-1.7%	1
Arkansas	\$27,645,000	\$34,769,000	\$7,124,000	25.8%	
California	\$472,872,000	\$478,911,000	\$6,039,000	1.3%	
Colorado	\$38,551,000	\$38,182,000	(\$369,000)	-1.0%	1
Connecticut	\$41,997,000	\$36,525,000	(\$5,472,000)	-13.0%	1
Delaware	\$7,265,000	\$7,134,000	(\$131,000)	-1.8%	1
District of Columbia	\$19,280,000	\$13,684,000	(\$5,596,000)	-29.0%	NA
Florida	\$162,204,000	\$168,892,000	\$6,688,000	4.1%	
Georgia	\$83,679,000	\$89,820,000	\$6,141,000	7.3%	
Hawaii	\$15,359,000	\$12,406,000	(\$2,953,000)	-19.2%	1
Idaho	\$12,190,000	\$13,062,000	\$872,000	7.2%	
Illinois	\$176,997,000	\$164,716,000	(\$12,281,000)	-6.9%	1
Indiana	\$70,672,000	\$64,652,000	(\$6,020,000)	-8.5%	1
Iowa	\$41,412,000	\$31,380,000	(\$10,032,000)	-24.2%	1
Kansas	\$28,064,000	\$28,222,000	\$158,000	0.6%	
Kentucky	\$45,905,000	\$57,217,000	\$11,312,000	24.6%	
Louisiana	\$62,601,000	\$75,768,000	\$13,167,000	21.0%	
Maine	\$20,034,000	\$16,260,000	(\$3,774,000)	-18.8%	1
Maryland	\$56,190,000	\$54,635,000	(\$1,555,000)	-2.8%	1
Massachusetts	\$111,100,000	\$84,035,000	(\$27,065,000)	-24.4%	1
Michigan	\$132,856,000	\$125,110,000	(\$7,746,000)	-5.8%	1
Minnesota	\$58,536,000	\$43,325,000	(\$15,211,000)	-26.0%	1
Mississippi	\$35,358,000	\$45,174,000	\$9,816,000	27.8%	
Missouri	\$68,159,000	\$69,360,000	\$1,201,000	1.8%	
Montana	\$9,234,000	\$11,305,000	\$2,071,000	22.4%	
Nebraska	\$19,561,000	\$18,170,000	(\$1,391,000)	-7.1%	1
Nevada	\$20,328,000	\$18,024,000	(\$2,304,000)	-11.3%	1
New Hampshire	\$13,274,000	\$9,745,000	(\$3,529,000)	-26.6%	1
New Jersey	\$101,732,000	\$90,920,000	(\$10,812,000)	-10.6%	1
New Mexico	\$21,138,000	\$23,499,000	\$2,361,000	11.2%	
New York	\$353,298,000	\$374,279,000	\$20,981,000	5.9%	
North Carolina	\$71,583,000	\$86,359,000	\$14,776,000	20.6%	
North Dakota	\$6,388,000	\$6,557,000	\$169,000	2.6%	
Ohio	\$163,302,000	\$146,609,000	(\$16,693,000)	-10.2%	1
Oklahoma	\$30,488,000	\$39,894,000	\$9,406,000	30.9%	
Oregon	\$36,898,000	\$36,635,000	(\$263,000)	-0.7%	1
Pennsylvania	\$223,921,000	\$180,983,000	(\$42,938,000)	-19.2%	1
Rhode Island	\$17,249,000	\$17,217,000	(\$32,000)	-0.2%	1
South Carolina	\$39,106,000	\$46,358,000	\$7,252,000	18.5%	
South Dakota	\$8,021,000	\$7,772,000	(\$249,000)	-3.1%	1
Tennessee	\$50,412,000	\$69,383,000	\$18,971,000	37.6%	
Texas	\$258,871,000	\$279,718,000	\$20,847,000	8.1%	
Utah	\$20,633,000	\$17,908,000	(\$2,725,000)	-13.2%	1
Vermont	\$8,366,000	\$6,967,000	(\$1,399,000)	-16.7%	1
Virginia	\$61,717,000	\$64,348,000	\$2,631,000	4.3%	
Washington	\$62,090,000	\$59,395,000	(\$2,695,000)	-4.3%	1
West Virginia	\$25,274,000	\$30,941,000	\$5,667,000	22.4%	
Wisconsin	\$67,189,000	\$55,697,000	(\$11,492,000)	-17.1%	1
Wyoming	\$4,232,000	\$4,893,000	\$661,000	15.6%	

Total count of states that lose CDBG formula dollars overall

27

*Note: Results presented here for dollar differences under HUD's proposed formula change may differ slightly from HUD's May 24, 2006, data due to rounding by HUD. In addition, some HUD listings of fiscal 2006 allocations used for this formula comparisons differ slightly from other HUD data on fiscal 2006 allocations. A U.S. total for CDBG funding under the proposed formula, if calculated from this data, would fall short of the total for fiscal 2006 allocations by \$16,368,000, mostly because of an increase in CDBG funding for Puerto Rico under the proposed formula change but also due to rounding.*

*Source: Northeast-Midwest Institute calculations based on May 24, 2006, data from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.*